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FROM THE PUBLISHER
This is the fourth issue of 1985.
If you have ordered any back issues of O.N. which you have not received, please advise us, at the above address. Please specify which issues.

When renewing, please give your name and address exactly as they appear on your mailing label, so that we can locate your file; if the label should be revised, tell us how it should be changed.

If you wish, you may use your VISA or MasterCard for payments to IOTA; include the account number, the expiration date, and your signature. Card users must pay the full prices, which are shown below, FOLLOWED BY THE DISCOUNT PRICES IN BRACKETS FOR THE USE OF THOSE PAYING BY CASH, CHECK, OR MONEY ORDER.

Effective as of the date of publication of this issue, the price of O.N. for North American (U.S.A., Canada, Mexico) subscribers is $\$ 1.82[1.75] /$ issue, or $\$ 7.28[7.00] /$ year ( 4 issues) including any supplements (for North American observers) associated with those issues. The supplements now include comprehensive asteroidal occultation predictions computed by Edwin Goffin for your region, but we may distribute some other information via similar supplements in the future. For all other areas, when served by surface mail, the price is $\$ 1.78[1.71] /$ issue, or \$7.12[6.84]/year, not including any supplements; when served by air (AO) mail, add these amounts to the surface prices: for Central America, St. Pierre and Miquelon, Caribbean islands, Bahamas, Bermuda, Colombia, and Venezuela, $45 \phi[43 \phi] /$ issue, or $\$ 1.79$ [1.72]/year (for these areas, any supplements for Horth American observers will be available @ $\$ 1.23$ [ $\$ 1.18] /$ year by surface mail, or $\$ 1.56[1.50] /$ year by AO mail); for the rest of South America, Mediterranean Africa, Europe (except Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, and U.S.S.R.), $80 \phi[77 \$] /$ issue, or $\$ 3.20[3.08]$ per year; for all others, \$1.16[1.11]/issue, or $\$ 4.63[4.44] /$ year. Supplements for South America will be available at extra cost through Ignacio Ferrin (Apartado 700; Merida 5101-A; Venezuela; for Europe, through Roland Boninsegna (Rue de Mariembourg, 33; B-6381 DOURBES; Belgium); for southern Africa, through M. D. Overbeek (Box 212; Edenvale 1610; Republic of South Africa); for Australia and New Zealand, through Graham Blow (P. 0. Box 2241; Wellington, New Zealand); for Japan, through Toshio Hirose (1-13 Shimomaruko l-chome; Ota-ku, Tokyo 146; Japan). Supplements for all other areas will be
(Continued overleaf)

IOTA NEWS

## David W. Dunham

The third annual meeting of IOTA was held at the Armand Bayou Nature Center in Clear Lake City, Texas, on 1985 November 16 . The official minutes of the meeting will be reported in the next issue. Main business highlights were the financial report and the consequent decision to raise the o.s. subscription price (but not IOTA membership rates; see "From the Publisher" at the beginning of this issue); decision to combine the offices of the Treasurer and Corresponding Secretary to facilitate processing of requests and finances; and fulfilling requirements for the support test required by the I.R.S. to maintain our tax-exempt status.

The combined office of Treasurer and Corresponding Secretary will be called the Secretary-Treasurer. H. F. DaBoll will fulfill this position during 1986. Being the main point of contact for IOTA, his address follows my name in the articles I publish in the 1986 January issue of $S k y$ and Telescope. In practice,- a few requests may initially be handled by Mark Allman, since the Columbus, Ohio, address was published in the 1986 R.A.S.C. Observers' Handbook, whose deadline predated the IOTA meeting.

We have ninety days from the end of 1985 to submit materials to the Internal Revenue Service for the support test to continue our tax-exempt status. Much of this involves financial questions that are being answered by DaBoll, Paul Maley, and me. However, it also involves proving that we are a public organization. Publication of IOTA's name by news media in connection with occultation and eclipse observations and research are valuable for this. If you have any local publications mentioning IOTA, such as in newspapers or local astronomical society newsletters, please send a copy to: Paul Maley; 15807 Brookvilla; Houston, TX 77059; phone 713,4835378. Remember this in the future. When organizing a local occultation expedition, call it an IOTA expedition, or joint IOTA/local astronomical society expedition, not just a local expedition. Although mention of IOTA in foreign publications helps (we appreciate being sent such references), the I.R.S. will be more interested in American publications.

The scientific agenda of the meeting will be included in the next issue. One major item was discussion of the observations of the grazes of Zubenel genubi at both limits of last May 4th's occultation in Africa during the total lunar eclipse. This culminat-
(FROM THE PUBLISHER, continued)
available at $\$ 1.23[1.18] /$ year by surface, or $\$ 2.04$ [1.96]/year by AO mail, from Jim Stamm (Rt. 13, Box 109; London, KY 40741; U.S.A.).

The prices of back issues by surface mail remain as follows: \$1.04[1.00]/issue through vol. 2, No. 13; later issues, through vol. 3, No. 13, \$1.46[1.40] per issue. Air mail shipment of back issues, if desired, remains at $47 \$[45 ¢] / i s s u e ~ e x t r a$, outside the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico.

10TA membership, subscription and supplement included, remains at $\$ 11.46[11.00] /$ year for residents of North America (including Mexico) and \$16.67[16.00] for others, to cover costs of overseas air mail. For IOTA members, the following items are available without extra charge; non-members pay \$1.04[1.00] for local circumstance (asteroidal occultation) predictions, and \$1.56[1.50] per graze limit prediction.

Observers from Europe and the British Isles should join IOTA/ES, sending DM 50.-- to Hans-J. Bode, Bar-told-Knaust Str. 8, 3000 Hannover 91, German Federal Republic. Full membership in IOTA/ES includes the supplement for European observers.
(IOTA NEWS, continued)
ed in a small workshop where we discussed techniques for preparing reduction profiles from graze observations and computer data generated with Van Flandern's OCC program at U.S.N.O. Since then, Paul Maley has prepared preliminary reduction profiles for each of the May 4th expeditions, some information about which is given in the graze article on p. 300.

We decided to hold the next (fourth) annual meeting in conjunction with the Texas Star Party to encourage more participation. The IOTA meeting will be held during Saturday, May 10th, the last day of the Texas Star Party. If you are interested in attending, contact the organizer for the 1986 Texas Star Party: Carol Rodgers; 128 N. Commerce; Burleson, TX 76028; phone 817,295-1026. If you want to give a presentation or have a suggestion for an agenda item, contact me at P.0. Box 7488; Silver Spring, MD 20907; phone $301,585-0989$. The 1986 meeting is an election meeting for officers for the three-year terms 1987-1989. Contact me or one of the other IOTA executive committee members if you want to nominate someone for an office. Ballots and more information will be distributed with the next issue.

There also will be an IOTA session held in conjunction with the Astronomical League's annual meeting in Baltimore, MD, early in August. Contact me for presentations or agenda items.

On December 13 and 14, a meeting was held at the Space Telescope Science Institute (STSciI) in Baltimore to discuss possible amateur-professional cooperation in use of the Hubble Space Telescope. The presidents of the major American amateur astronomical organizations attended (the first time that they all had gathered in one place), including George Ellis (Astronomical League), Janet Mattei (A.A.V.S.0.), John Westfall (Association of Lunar and Planetary Observers), Jesse Eichenlaub (Independent Space Research Group), Stephen Edberg (Western Amateur Astronomers), Gerald Persha (I.A.P.P.P.), and me. Members of the staff of the STSciI gave interesting presentations of the capabilities of the Hubble

Space Telescope.
Berton Stevens sent me a listing of the IOTA records in October. I was surprised to see that there was no station information (primarily longitude, latitude, and graze travel radii) for a large fraction of fully paid IOTA members. If you are in this category, you are not receiving the predictions to which you are entitled, and which we want to provide. Those with small travel radii rarely get graze predictions, but everyone with station data receives planetary/asteroidal appulse local-circumstances calculations from Joseph Carroll each year. He now is computing and distributing these predictions for 1986. If you are an IOTA member and do not receive Carroll's predictions within two weeks of receipt of this issue, chances are very good that we do not have station data for you in our computer records, and you should transmit the information on the observer information form to H . F. DaBoll to rectify the situation. We apologize if you had previously sent us an observer information form, which may not have reached Berton Stevens through the somewhat complex channels in place during the last two years. We prefer coordinates specified to full accuracy (one arc second or better), but less accuracy is sufficient for predictions. For graze predictions, your coordinates should be accurate to at least l' (one arc minute), while for Carroll's predictions, $10^{\prime}$ is often all right. Coordinates to these accuracies can be found in some of the large encyclopedic atlases; other IOTA members also can help with coordinate determination.

We have decided on the content for an IOTA membership card, and Tony Murray in Georgetown, GA, is preparing a detailed design. These should be ready for distribution with the next issue of O.N. We are also working on an illustrated one-page handout briefly describing lunar grazes and asteroidal occultations, their uses, and IOTA, intended primarily for our contacts with the public during expeditions and advance-planning field work.

Last October, Joan and I made some more updates to the occultation manual, improving it considerably over the April version. A few copies of this version were made and distributed at the IOTA meeting. There are still some missing or unfinished sections; which we hope to largely complete during the next two months. The project has top priority, so there is a good chance that it will be distributed before, or along with, the next issue of o.N. We-plan to distribute that issue in March, when post-perihelion observations will permit a good update of the orbit of Halley's Comet and refinement of predictions of occultations by Halley.

## ASTEROIDAL APPULSE AND OCCULTATION OBSERVATIONS

## Jim Stamm

Beginning with this issue, summaries of asteroidal occultations and appulses will be published in tabular form. Some groups are so well coordinated that we are beginning to get more reports than can be published comfortably in the old format. We still will retain all of the data that are included in the report form, and they will be available to anyone who requests them. It is still important to report all of the information on the report form to us. For events that are well covered, or show interest-
ing results, I will write up a separate paragraph or article.

In the tabular format, a line represents a negative visual observation. A "p" follows the line for a photoelectric observation, and a " $v$ " follows the line for a video observation. The beginning and ending times of observation are above and below the line, respectively. A number within a circle refers the reader to the notes given below. The name following the continent name is the coordinator who has supplied most of the reports. When an event description is preceded by an asterisk, it means that the event also is listed under one or more of the other continents.

Notes:
Maksymowicz reported 1second occultations beginning at 04:37:19 and 04:40:30. He considered at least the last event doubtful because of a bright sky and poor stability. The observed north shift (o.N. 3 (13), 280) placed the primary path over Scandinavia.
(2) From the center of Amsterdam, under a very clear sky, Serne recorded an occultation from 00:06:11.5 to 00:06:16.1.
(3) A total of 22 stations reported data to Roland Boninsegna for this event - the best-observed event since Feb 16 Iris (another European accomplishment!). Boninsegna will reduce the data, and I will report his results (including Serne's observation) in a future issue of O.N.
(4) Yamaguchi, along with Edgar Espinosa and Federico Arispe of the Astronomy Association of Bolivia, recorded a 14-second occultation beginning at 02:58:00. The occurrence was $71_{2}$ minutes later than predicted, the duration 3 seconds longer, and the path south-shifted 1400 km .

```
(5) O.N. 3 (13), 280.
(6) O.N. 3 (13), 281.
(7) O.N. 3 (1), 15.
```

|  |
| :---: |

Notes about individual events not tabulated.

21:30, and recorded an estimated 0.8-0.9-magnitude drop for 7 seconds, beginning at 21:04:31.
(746) Marlu and SAO 138569, May 1: (O.N. 3 (13), 280). Andrea Manna's observation was at Munisio, Switzerland.

Pluto and 12.8-mag. star, Aug 19: N. Brosch and H. Mendelson recorded a photoelectric dimming lasting 80 seconds from Wise Observatory (Mitzpe Ramon, Israel). The mid-time of the event was 17:59:21, $\pm 2$ seconds. The starlight disappeared completely for only about 14 seconds, indicating that this was an almost-grazing occultation. This may be the first detection of an atmosphere around Pluto.
(2) Pallas and SAO 171571, Oct 24: The occultation of this $6.4-\mathrm{mag}$. star was timed from three stations in the Brisbane, Queensland, area, making it the sixth observed occultation of a star by this asteroid, many more than for any other minor planet. The timings, all visual, are listed below:

Peter Anderson
The Gap

Charlie Smith Woodbridge

Steve Hutcheon Sheldon

$27^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 48.0 \mathrm{~S}$. R $16^{\mathrm{h}_{23} 3^{\mathrm{m}} 58 \leq 4 \pm 0 \mathrm{~S} 1}$ height 176 m duration $28 \leq 5$
$153^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 43$ ". 6 E. D $16^{h_{2}} 3^{m} 311^{s} .4 \pm 0^{\text {s. }} .2$ $27^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 18.1$ S. R $16^{\mathrm{h}} 23^{\mathrm{m}} 59$ S $4 \pm 0$ S 2 height 40 m duration $28 \leq 0$
$153^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} 0$ E. D $16^{\mathrm{h}} 23^{\mathrm{m}} 31 \leqslant 2 \pm 0 \leqslant 2$ $27^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 21.0$ S. R $16^{\mathrm{h}_{2}} 3^{\mathrm{m}} 58 \leq 4 \pm 0$ S 2 height 32 m duration $27!52$

Anderson noted in his report that "The asteroid appeared blue-green as a contrast effect compared with the K2 star. . . The observers were spaced (west to east) at 0,12 , and 27.5 km relative to the almost north-south motion of the asteroid. From these observations the actual path of the central track (some 31 seconds duration) passed some 100 km or more to the west of Brisbane, which is a displacement of around 1:8 from the predicted (nominal) track, which was just east of New Zealand." Graham Blow notes that Sydney also must have been in the path, but observers there were clouded out, as were all in New Zealand. David Dunham notes that the large shift can not be due to the star, which has a good position (especially in right ascension) from the photoelectric Perth 70 catalog. The orbit used for the nominal prediction was published by Sitarski in 1982, using observations from 1960 to 1979, a relatively short data arc for determining an accurate asteroid orbit. In 1983, it agreed with astrometric observations of Pallas better than any other available orbit, and served very well for the wellobserved occultation of 1 Vulpeculae on May 29th of that year. In 1984, Landgraf determined a new orbit for Pallas. Remembering the 1983 astrometry, Dunham figured that Sitarski's orbit was good enough, and decided not to replace it with Landgraf's data for the 1985 predictions. Experience has shown that the stationary points of an asteroid's orbit are especially sensitive to astrometric errors. After learning of the above observations, Dunham computed an ephemeris using Landgraf's orbital elements, and computed a path for the occultation in essentially exact agreement with the Brisbane observations. Landgraf used data for over a century up through 1983, and his orbit is also in good agreement with



| Asia | I. S. Balinskaya |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M. Fedyanin | Tomsk, w. Siberia | 15072007 |
| M. Pishnenko | Yhabarovsk, e.Sib. | 1528 |
| 83 0ct 9 | 36 Atalante SAO | 41289 |
| 83 Dec 28 | 776 Berbericia AGK3 | +33\%0763 |

the older orbit in Astron. Papers Prepared for use of the Amer. Ephem. and Naut. Almanac 20, which also was determined from a very long series of observations. Dunham further remarks that the Brisbane observations show that Sitarski's orbit already is deviating from the true path, which now is represented best by Landgraf's orbit. Dunham apologizes to the Australian observers, who would have mobilized to secure more chords if the nominal path had been calculated with Landgraf's orbit (some even preliminary astrometry on Pallas also could have spotted the error in Sitarski's orbit). The observers monitored the star for at least ten minutes around the time of the occultation, and no secondary extinctions were seen.
(89) Julia and SAO 41024, Dec 9: Three Lick Observatory exposures of both objects on the same plate on Nov. 26 gave a path shift of 1.68 north $\pm 0.10$, with a time correction of $6.6 \pm 0.2$ minutes early, according to calculations by David Dunham. This placed the path across Canada at about latitude $60^{\circ}$ north, well north of any known occultation observers in North America.
(89) Julia and SAO 40525, Dec 20: Dunham gives the following account of the astrometry and one successful observation of this occultation: "I combined the substantial Lick corrections to Julia's ephemeris from the Nov. 6 plate [mentioned above] with an improved star position from a 1977 Lick plate to derive a prediction of $1.38 \mathrm{~N} . \pm 0.3$. Since this path crossed the U.S.A., another Lick plate with four exposures was taken on December 11th. The path shifts derived from each of the four exposures were 1!"72, $1444,1: 55$, and 1:49, all north. The first exposure disagreed with the last three, the average of which gave 1"49 N. A straight (or "overall") average of
all four exposures gave 1.55 N . Previous experience has shown that it is usually just as well to use the overall average. I decided to include the first exposure, but not with full weight, to derive a shift of $1!53 \pm 0.5$ north and a time correction of $4.9 \pm 0.2$ minutes early. The central line for this improved path passed near Castle Rock, Washington; The Dalles, Oregon; the southwest corner of Idaho; and near Wendover and Salinas, Utah. I told Richard Linkletter about this shift, so that he might notify potential observers in Washington and Oregon, and he also notified three observers in Utah.
"On the night of the event, a high pressure area settled over the region after a storm had left much snow and moisture. With the onset of the high, Temperatures plummeted to well below freezing. Lowlevel winds died, while high-level winds brought warm air from the south. The result was a strong, persistent temperature inversion which kept cold, moist air in the valleys. Thirteen of fifteen observers in the northwestern U.S.A. notified by Linkletter, failed to observe the appulse, mainly due to fog and smog. Linkletter notes the strength of the inversion by the temperature of $52^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. at the University of Oregon's Pine Mountain Observatory at a height of 6280 feet, while at the same time at nearby Bend, at about 2000 feet, the temperature was only $15^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. At Pine Mountain (at a shift value of about 1.43 north), Lynn Carroll and Dan Kraus visually timed a 4.22-second occultation starting at $14^{\mathrm{h}}$ $13^{\mathrm{m}} 17 \mathrm{~s}$, in excellent accord with the time I predicted from the Lick data. Nick Liepin, at Salem, Oregon, saw a miss, showing that Pine Mountain was

## REPORT ON E. S. O. P. IV

## Eberhard Bredner

The Fourth European Symposium on Occultation Predictions was arranged by Josef Van Camp and Edwin Goffin and was held on August 24-25, 1985, in Urania, the Public Observatory of Antwerp, in Belgium. More than 25 participants from Czechoslovakia, German Federal Republic, the Netherlands, Belgium, and Denmark joined the meeting.

We were welcomed very obligingly the evening before the symposium and had a first evening with longlasting talks on astronomy in a smaller group.

The symposium was opened by the director of the Urania, Marc Gyssens, and by Hans-Joachim Bode of IOTA/ES. The lectures started with a review by Bohumil Malecek on E.S.O.P. III in Czechoslovakia. Very impressive slides showed the possibilities of the meeting. Henk Bril gave a report, "Some Investigations Concerning Reaction Time" depending on more than 2400 measurements. With more than $95 \%$ probability, $0 \leqslant 15$ < reaction time < $0 \leqslant .55$ is valid; for a skilled observer you can estimate $0 \leqslant 21 \pm 0 \leqslant 06$. The 'grand old man' of occultation, N. P. Wieth-Knudsen, showed us "Experiments on the Precision of the Minnaert Method" for the timing of solar eclipse contacts to reduce the first contact. Hans-Joachim Bode explained the "0ccultation Work Concerning the IHW." He emphasized the necessity of a network of observers with photoelectric equipment.

The lunch break was prepared in the Urania Observatory. Refreshed, we had a guided visit to the Public Observatory by director Marc Gyssens.
near the southern limit. The path center must have been close to l:49 north, in virtually exact agreement with the average of the last three Lick exposures of December 11. This path crossed Portland, Oregon, where, unfortunately, the fog was especially thick.
"Brent Sorenson observed a miss during the critical minute of closest approach at Cedar City, Utah, using a fourteen-inch Schmidt-Cass. Four minutes later, at about 14 h 17 m U.T., he saw the star disappear for a couple of seconds, but observation by then was very difficult, due to the brightening dawn.
"A few IOTA members, including me, thought of traveling into the path to observe with portable equipment, but none did, primarily due to the extreme pressure of year-end work that had to be completed before many took Christmas vacations. Also, by the time we had the December 11 Lick results, tickets for the few remaining seats on scheduled flights were priced at two to three times as much as the normally available discount tickets, due to the holiday crunch. If one of us had made the trip, chances are good that we would have obtained a second chord and an estimate of Julia's diameter."
(18) Melpomene and SAO 114658, Dec 30: Dunham reports that William Penhallow obtained three exposures on December 22. Using positions for SAO 114658 and SAO stars near Mel pomene measured by Klemola from a 1980 Lick plate, Dunham calculated a path shift of 0.07 north $\pm 0: 20$ and time correction of 1.2 minutes early $\pm 0.3$ minutes.
The afternoon session started with a topic by Norbert Kordts, "Contrast Effects in Astronomical Observations, or Can you see the Star or Can't you?" He gave a lot of helpful advice to reduce light scattering. Some slides of "The Solar Eclipse of November 22, 1984, in New Guinea" (H.-J. Bode) showed the troublesome travel in a wobbly dugout to mount a camera with a telephoto lens in a swamp. Roland Boninsegna showed us "The Organization and Activities of GEOS (Groupe Europeen D'Observation Stellaire." More than 150 members have joined the departments of Variable Stars, Photoelectric Photometry, and Asteroidal Occultations. Josef Van Camp and Pierre Vingerhoets presented the increasing French-Belgian-Dutch collaboration in observing minor planets. They showed "An Electronic Occultation Timing and Registration Device" that stores 64 timings with the accuracy of an atomic clock. The device will be in stock by the end of 1985 for about 120 dollars. The first day ended with a visit to the Town Hail and some astronomical sites of interest in the little neighboring town, Lier. There we had a splendid dinner in a typical comfortable restaurant.

Edwin Goffin started the next morning after a refreshing night - the accommodation had been chosen very cautiously - with "Predictions of Occultations by Minor Planets." Gordon Taylor finished his mi-nor-planet prediction work, which Landgraf and Goffin will continue. "Video Observations of Mutual Occultations by Jovian Satellites" were shown by Jean Bourgeois; they were recorded by an RCA Ultricon camera with the $100-\mathrm{cm}$ mirror at the Observatoire Pic du Midi, France. The measured timings were in good agreement with the predictions. Roland Boninsegna discussed "Minor Planet Occultations:

Precautions to Take" during visual observations (equipment for timekeeping, recording) and the best geographical position for different groups: "Do not try to observe too faint stars!" Edwin Goffin, referring to the topic "An Occultation Premiere," listed the occultations of pairs of minor planets, but most of the events are very hard to observe (see Sky and relescope 70 (5), 464 (Nov. 1985)). Bohumil Malecek explained the "Occultation Activities in the C.S.S.R.," where in 20 years more than 6500 observations at 58 stations by 447 observers have been recorded and sent to Tokyo.

Hans-Joachim Bode closed the symposium with a survey of the present situation of IOTA/ES. The problems with the data on magnetic tapes from David Dunham are very time wasting because the computer systems are so different. There are high costs for copies, and the time lag by spreading information over Europe is too great. But there is hardly anybody who can help to do the work.

ESOP V is scheduled for Poland (1986), and ESOP VI for Denmark (1987).
The symposium was closed with a marvelous lunch in the great assembly room at Urania Observatory. H.J. Bode thanked the organizers for their careful preparations, and the Urania for providing their observatory for the symposium.

Fifteen participants who stayed in Antwerp for another day had a guided visit to the Royal Observatory Brussels (Koninklijke Sterrenwacht van Belgie) by four heads of departments - Time Service and Geodetic; Occultation Work With Video Camera; Spectroscopy; and Sunspot Index Data Center - and afterwards a lunch, with a subsequent walk through the old part of the centre of Brussels.

What a lovely time it was!

## gRAZING OCCULTATIONS

## Don Stockbauer

Reports of successful lunar grazing occultations should be sent to me at 2846 Mayflower Landing; Web-

| Mo Dy | Star Numbe |  | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { ¢nl } \end{gathered}$ |  | Location$\#$ <br> Sta | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# } \\ & \text { Im } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S Ap } \\ & \text { S cm } \end{aligned}$ | Organizer | St WA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 1981 \\ 823 \end{gathered}$ | 09393 | 7.1 | $44-$ |  | Delfgauw, Neth |  | 20 | Henk Bulder | 35061 |
| 1985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 423 | 0599 | 4.5 | $7+$ | 5 N | Morton Grove, IL | 4 | 215 | Berton Stevens |  |
| 54 V | 2118 | 2.9 | OE | 82 U | HagAbdullah, Sudan 4 |  |  | David Dunham | $022-2$ |
| 54 | 2118 | 2.9 | OE | $35 U$ | Naboomspruit, RSA 7 | 28 |  | R. Wallace | S201-7 |
| 54 | 2118 | 2.9 | OE | $35 U$ | Naboomspruit, RSA 10 | 52 |  | B. Fraser | S201-7 |
| 54 | 2118 | 2.9 | 3E | $40 \cup$ | Dullstroom, RSA 3 | 28 |  | R. Wallace | $201-7$ |
| 54 | 2118 | 2.9 | 4 E | 42 U | Badplaas, RSA 10 | 55 | 111 | A. C. Voorvelt | 1S201-7 |
| 54 | 2118 | 2.9 | 11 E | 49 U | Sodwana Bay, RSA 6 | 34 | 1 | A. Hilton | 3S201-7 |
| 912 | 1408 | 7.4 | 7- | 14N | Burns, TN 2 | 21 | 26 | Michael Crist | 0354 |
| 107 | 1093 | 7.2 | 48- | 9N | East Hartland, CT 1 | 10 | 115 | Philip Dombrowski |  |
| 109 | 1363 | 5.2 | 27- |  | Summit, MS 2 | 210 | 133 | Benny Roberts | 2N356-67 |
| 113 | 1067 | 7.2 | 73- | 5 S | Ennis, TX 2 | 24 | 120 | Don Stockbauer | C5S182-61 |
| 114 | 1162 | 8.6 | 67- | 45 | Zoetmeer, Nether. 1 | 12 | 130 | Henk Bulder | 182-61 |
| 114 | 1206 | 5.9 | 63- |  | Barstow, CA 1 |  | 120 | David Werner | 187-66 |
| 1117 | 188639 | 7.6 | $25+$ | 15S | St. Augustine, FL 1 | 12 | 115 | Harold Povenmire |  |
| 1118 | 3052 | 6.4 | $36+$ | 165 | Titusville, FL ? | 214 | 115 | Harold Povenmire |  |
| 128 | Mars | 1.4 |  |  | Barto, PA | 14 |  | David Blackmore | 9-11 |

ster, TX 77598; U.S.A. Also sending a copy to ILOC is greatly appreciated; their address is; International Lunar Occultation Centre; Geodesy and Geophysics Division; Hydrographic Department; Tsukiji5; Chuo-Ku; Tokyo, 104 Japan.

Observers using profiles of the Cassini region (identified by 1 imb points coded " 3 " or "4") should be aware of possible spurious features. They arise from the process of combining data from several different grazes. If a discontinuity of about one arc second or more is found on one of these profiles, there is a chance that the feature so formed may be false. During the graze of ZC 1067 on 1983 Nov 3, Don Oliver and I discovered that the large feature at Watts angle $182^{\circ}$, longitude libration $-6: 3$, and latitude libration $-6 \%$, either does not exist, or is much smaller than indicated. For an excellent article on Dr. Watts and how the limb corrections were measured, see the "Astronomical Scrapbook" in the February, 1964, issue of $S_{k y}$ and Telescope.

I would like to emphasize again that the shift of the moon's shadow is the most important result of a graze expedition. Please delay the report in order to measure it, if that's what it takes; there is no benefit connected with rushing the report in without the shift! Plotting the observations after the graze is the best way to make sure everything correlates; the shift is then easily read from the lefthand side of the profile. Progress is being made on keypunching the graze tables, so this is becoming much more important. When all the graze tables are in machine-readable form, the list will be sorted by star number. Before an upcoming graze, this list can be examined to see if the star ever has been observed in a graze before. If a shift were reported, the update would be instantly available. If no shift were reported, a rough one would have to be computed (perhaps with only part of the data), and even this would take some effort. Thus, reporting the observed shift ultimately will benefit the person filing the report and other expedition leaders.

ZC 1093 is a close double. The combined magnitude is 6.4 and the primary's magnitude is 7.2 . Since Dombrowski saw a graze for only the primary star on October 7, I put 7.2 in the "Mag" columin. Observers of the graze of ZC 3052 on November 18 timed a few events involving the $8.5-\mathrm{mag}$. secondary star.
The "Place Name" at the top of the graze report form is the town or village nearest the point of observation. For grazes, it usually will differ from the city in the address. Its purpose is to associate the observation with a geographical location which is nor readily apparent from the geodetic coordinates alone.

When totaling timings for an expedition, count certainty 1 events as one, certainty 2 events
as $\frac{1}{2}$, and certainty 3 events as zero; round up any fractions. This might explain why the total in the graze table is sometimes lower than the one you reported. If you see a gradual disappearance or reappearance, and time both the beginning and end of the fade, you may count these as two separate timings only if the fade's duration was $0 \leq 5$ or greater. Also, the more information you can provide at the bottom of the form, the more complete the graze table will be.

While the report forms should be filled out in pencil to facilitate corrections, please be sure the print is dark enough to photocopy well. I had to trace over a few that I received recently.

Please keep the reports coming in; every one is appreciated.

## USNO NEWS

## David W. Dunham

David Herald suggested that accurate stellar apparent places be given for "graze nearby" cases in the U. S. Naval Observatory's detailed total lunar occultation predictions generated mainly by Marie Lukac with the EVANS program. Then people like him, who have microcomputer programs for computing grazes, could generate their own reasonably accurate path data with the help of lunar data from the Astronomical Almanac. Marie and I updated EVANS to print out the seconds of R.A. and DEC. to 0 SO01 and $0: 01$ precision, respectively. The full R.A. and Dec. are already printed to 051 and 1" accuracy for each occulted star. Also, the latitude and coefficient in the graze-nearby formula were. changed to $0: 001$ precision. This increased precision should be accurate to $\pm 0: 005$ for most graze-nearby messages.

Although MVT now has to be specially installed, it still is working, and we still can make 78A OCC runs; see p. 277 of the last issue. We will increase efforts to fix the CMS 80G version of OCC early in 1986, since the CalComp disk drives needed to support MVT most likely will be removed in October. One of the CalComp drives sometimes gave select locks when started during recent uses of MVT, but we always got it to work after one or two additional attempts.

## SOLAR SYSTEM OCCULTATIONS DURING 1986

## David W. Dunham

Predictions of occultations of stars by major and minor planets, and by comets, during 1986 are given in two tables below, which are presented in nearly the same format as those for last year's events. The only difference from last year is that columns in Table 2 relating to the diameter of the occulted star have been eliminated. In practice, these data are almost never used, and their elimination saves me some work in the current cumbersome procedures that I need to undertake to produce the tables. The tables are given on alternating pages, so that all data for a given event are available on facing pages. Explanations of the data given in the tables, and of the finder charts, and regional and world maps appearing in O.N., as well as information about local circumstances (appulse predictions) sent to IOTA members, were given in the article about 1983
events in O.N. 3 (1), 9. Joseph E. Carroll, 4261 Queen's Way, Minnetonka, MN 55345, computes the appulse predictions. Specific information about some of the events is given in my article on planetary occultations in the 1986 January issue of Sky and Telescope, for others at the end of this article, and for many during the last half of the year, will be given in future issues. Some important new events or astrometric updates sometimes can be published in the monthly Sky and relescope before the quarterly o.N. Sections on reporting observations, prediction sources, and prediction updates were given in O.N. 3 (10), 208 and 209. I understand that Robert Millis, Lowell Observatory, P.O. Box 1269; Flagstaff, AZ 86002, is now the chairman of the I.A.U.'s Commission 20 Working Group on Predictions of Occultations by Satellites and Minor Planets. Under prediction updates, we now can add the Astronomy Bulletin Board System (ASTBBS) mentioned on p. 272 of the last issue.

This issue of o.n. was delayed much longer than I like, due mainly to the length of time needed to prepare the computer database used to generate all my detailed solar system occultation predictions and charts. I had a fairly efficient system of computer programs for generating the stellar data from different star catalog tapes last spring, but this system depended on the data being punched on cards, which then were shuffled and merged with the different ephemeris datasets. This system ended when the card punch at USNO was removed. An equivalent shuffling of the stellar data with disk datasets is very cumbersome, and often I find it easier just to key in all the data for a star directly from the printed catalogs. But this is time-consuming. A solution is to use the software that I use to automatically find occultations of Astrographic Catalog stars and produce appropriate occultation datasets, but before I can do this in general, I need to reformat my star catalog tapes and merge them into a master catalog. I had plans to do that in 1985, but never had time to execute them. After Halley's Comet dies down at the end of April, I will make time to create the merged master star catalog, which will allow me to use my automatic search software for 1987 events and eliminate the current time-consuming procedure.

Asteroidal Occultations. The main source is Wasserman, Bowell, and Millis' article, "Occultations of Stars by Solar System Objects. VI. Occultations of Catalog Stars by Asteroids in 1986 and 1987" in Astron. J. 90 (10), 2124 (1985 0ct.). G. Taylor first found five occultations by large asteroids, those on Mar. 21, Apr. 26 (Ceres), Apr. 28, July 11, and July 15, as listed in Astron. J. 86 (6), 903. I found that two of the events in Wasserman et al's list will not occur: (216) Kleopatra on Feb 11 (both Herget's orbital elements, and the new elements given in EMP 1986, show a miss by about $9^{\prime \prime}$ ) and (602) Marianna on Dec. 29.60, AGK3 N21 ${ }^{\circ} 39$ (the same star was occulted on the same date at the same time in 1985, and the event somehow made it into the Lowell 1986 list; Marianna never comes close to the star during 1986). Several of Edwin Goffin's better events, involving larger asteroids or bright stars, are included in my dataset, even though his comprehensive predictions have been distributed in separate supplements. This is so that at least the better events can be included in the local circumstances appulse predictions. Also, I find that my paths of-
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ten differ from Goffin's by a few to several tenths of an arc second, so that producing Sôma's world maps for all listed events does not always duplicate Goffin's maps, and also gives the better events worldwide, rather than just regional, distribution. I am now producing fewer finder charts, because Goffin's charts usually are adequate. My finder charts are mainly for faint stars (where the A.C. plots are helpful), for several events (mainly due to star catalogs not used by Goffin) found by Lowell but not Goffin, and for some bright stars where not enough faint stars to be seen in a telescopic field are included on Goffin's charts.
oids. Only those with path shifts greater than $0!25$ or time differences greater than 1.5 minutes are included in Table 3 below, similar to the one for 1985 in O.N. 3 (10), 209:

Table 3.
Ephemeris Differences for 1986 Ephem. Date MP\# Shift $\Delta t$ Source
Feb $158043!50 \mathrm{~S}-3 \mathrm{~m}_{1} 1$ Herget Apr $11562.35 \mathrm{~N}+11.4$ Herget77 Apr $26 \quad 315.84 \mathrm{~N}-10.0$ Herget78 May 12393 5.54S -8.5 Herget77 Jul $2 \quad 56 \quad 0.06 \mathrm{~N}-8.4$ Herget 77 Aug 291450.59 S -8.1 Herget78 Sep 18702 2.02S -12.1 Herget78 Oct $27 \quad 930.75 \mathrm{~S}-2.6$ Herget78 Dec $171454.17 \mathrm{~N}+9.5$ Herget78

I computed ephemeris differences for several aster-
Table 1, Part A, is on preceding page. Table 2, Part A, is below. Text continues on page 305.












Virtually all of these cases involve orbits computed by Herget about 8 years ago，for which more recent and generally better orbits have been published in the Ephemerides of Minor Planets（EMP）．

Major Planets．The predictions for major planets include some of the better events from scans for Mercury through Saturn sent to me by Larry Wasserman at Lowell Observatory．I will be interested to learn how observable these events are，and what val－ we observations of them may have．Predictions have not been distributed for as many of these occult－ tions in a previous year，and I wonder if more or less effort should be devoted to them in the future．

Venus：Most of the events occur at relatively large elongations，when Venus is crescent or near quarter phase，but not highly gibbous．Hence，like the moon，the $\Delta m$ column（always 0.0 ）is meaningless， since relatively faint stars can be seen disappear－ ing（or fading）on the dark side．It may be poss－ bile to see a central flash near the central line of the occultation path，but if Venus is too close to the earth，the atmospheric depth where refraction occurs will be below the cloud tops so that it will not occur．It would be useful if somebody would compute the minimum distance where a central flash could occur，with the light path grazing the cloud tops around the planet．

Table 1，Part B，is on preceding page．Table 2，Part B，is below．Text continues overleaf．
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Mars: Mars is always highly gibbous, and I have doubts about any of the listed events being observable. I have eliminated potential events close to opposition, where the defect of illumination (or "defect") is near zero. A lucky observer might see a brief occultation by Phobos or Deimos. But any occultation by Mars has dubious value considering the relative abundance of in situ observations. The percent of Mars sunlit ranges from 86 to 90 for all events listed, and the defect of illumination ranges from 1:1 to 1:.8 (on Sept. 6 and Oct.9).

Jupiter: I have not worked out the geometry to see whether the Jovian rings might dim the star's light.

Outer Planets: Wasserman found no occultations by Saturn. D. J. Mink and A. Klemola have published "Predicted Occultations by Uranus, Neptune, and Pluto: 1985-1990" in Astron. J. 90 (9), 1894. Their Uranus and Neptune events involve stars of mag. 12.2 or fainter, which will be overwhelmed in the telescopes used by most O.N. readers. The brightest star occulted by Pluto in 1986 is mag. 14.9, again out of most observers' range. Mink updated his published predictions for Pluto using recent astrometric data. Of his 5 listed events, only the ones on April 30 (central Pacific) and June 22 (northern South America, Cape Verde Is.) will probably actually occur.

Comets: Predictions of occultations by comets, especially $P / H a l l e y$, were given and discussed starting on p. 281 of the last issue. I obtained IHW orbit \#31 for P/Halley early in November. It showed substantial deviations from IHW \#28 used last time, so I have included revised predictions for all of the $1986 \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{Halley}$ predictions here. I also have included some wider misses than I considered before, when selecting events from the Lowell list in IHW Newsletter \#7, to take into account possible future deviations. For those interested in knowing where the P/Halley paths in 1985 went, IHW31 was 1:5 north of IHW28 during essentially all of November and December, 1985.

A new orbit, IHW \#33, recently became available, using observations through 1985 Dec.
16. Fortunately, the changes from IHW \#31 are under $0: 3$, considerably less than the over 1 " mean residual of the observational fit. Hence, I have not bothered to show the IHW \#33 updates, except in a couple of the regional maps, where the correction from my slightly inaccurate earth orbit to the better one used by Yeomans is also included. I made a mistake on the chart for April 24 ; the YEOIHN 33 path should be at 0.38 N . (virtually identical to the SAO - G.C. path), not $1!3 \mathrm{~N}$. as shown, so the path still should cross the northernmost part of Australia.

P/Giacobini-Zinner's orbit changed substantially near perihelion, and P/Halley's orbit may do likewise. But we won't know about it
until the comet is recovered in late February, after which rather large changes to my predictions could occur. I will maintain Halley ephemeris updates on the recorded telephone message at 301,585-0989.

Neil Divine at J.P.L. recently sent me the results of opacity calculations using his dust models for P/Halley. These indicate that visual observers probably will be able to detect an event if the miss distance is under 5 km , while photoelectric observers might be able to detect dimming out to about 50 km . But Divine states that "the uncertainty in these results is considerable," and ends his letter saying: "Should confirmed detection of any of these
potential occultations be obtained, I would appreciate prompt notification, as comparison with the predictions might be useful to improve the environment al models for the spacecraft flybys in March 1986." Unfortunately, few P/Halley events occur before the spacecraft encounters. Most of them, including the three best occultations (and perhaps the only ones involving bright-enough stars to separate from the light of the inner coma), occur in April. Hence, it is much more probable that the occultation strategies will benefit from the spacecraft results than the other way around. And the spacecraft data may be so good that any occultation results would have little or no value.

Table 1, Part C, is on page 306. Table 2, Part C, is below.
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Notes about Individual Events. Some of the visual double star data, and some of the Yale and AGK3 data, were supplied by Wayne Warren, Astronomical Data Center, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD. Some of the notes published in my article on planetary occultations in the January issue of Sky and Telescope will not be repeated here. Notes for events after April will be given in the next issue.

Jan. 2: The star position used was supplied by W. Landgraf, who received it from the European Space Operations Center. It was one of several reference stars "observed on La Palma (Canary Is.) with the Carlsberg Automatic Meridian Circle for targeting the ESA space probe GIOTTO onto Halley's Comet for close encounter in March 1986." Unfortunately, in the list of a few hundred stars along Halley's path up to the time of the Giotto encounter, this star was the only one in my list that may be occulted, but even it probably won't be; also, all or most readers will receive this after the event.

Jan 13: The star has an 11th-mag. companion $15^{\prime \prime}$ away in p.a. $330^{\circ}$ that may be occulted along a path about 1.3 south of the primary star's path.

Jan. 17: The star is Z.C. $915=$ Chi 2 Orionis, whose close duplicity is suspected from 1975 graze observations. This is the brightest star to be occulted by any asteroid during 1986, and a large asteroid at that. Preliminary astrometry is in progress to see if the path might shift to California, but in any case, observers throughout western North America should monitor the appulse, at least for possible secondary occultations. If the path stays where it is, it might be reached by boat (observation could be with binoculars), or it might be seen from southern Alaska, where recent weather has been comparatively warm (but chances of clear sky remain low). I am giving some thought to the possibilities; let me know if you also might be interested in making an unusual observation.

Mar. 25: The star is Z.C. $2291=49$ Librae, a spectroscopic binary.

Apr. 24: This is the best occultation by P/Halley during the current apparition, and it occurs during the deep partial phases
just before a total lunar eclipse. I'm planning to travel to Australia to try to observe this occultation and the comet; let me know if you also would be interested in making a largely tax-deductible trip to also attempt this once-in-a-lifetime event. It should be possible to get in a little regular comet viewing after moonset a few days before the 24 th. If the path predicted from post-perihelion observations shows that the path goes entirely north of Australia, I will lose interest fast. If it shifts south, it may be possible to mobilize large numbers of Australian observers. I will check into the possibilities of getting Schmidt plates at one of the southern observatories to obtain a last-minute path update. See also the end of the second paragraph of Comets above.



LONGITUDE
EPFEMERIS SOLRCE $=$ EMP !982



SAO 145945 by P/Halley 1986 Jan 2


SAO 196652 by P/G-Z 1986 Jan 11


SAO 96478 by Carlova 1986 Jan 15


SAO 77911 by Davida 1986 Jan 16

$+24^{\circ} 1520$ by Chloris 1986 Jan 3


SAO 99159 by Myrrha 1986 Jan 13


SAO 95935 by Melpomene 1986 Jan 16


SAO 80380 by Brixia 1986 Jan 19


SAO 183171 by Brunhild 1986 Jan 8


SAO 115666 by Mabella 1986 Jan 15


LJ 4839 by Doris 1986 Jan 16


LJ 3455 by Arachne 1986 Jan 20



LJ 3604 by Eugenia 1986 Jan 28

$+22^{\circ} 1104$ by Davida 1986 Feb 4


SAO 61871 by Scheila 1986 Feb 9


SAO 118942 by Sophrosyne ' 86 Feb 8


SAO 170643 by Pallas 1986 Feb 1


SAO 110095 by Sylvia 1986 Feb 6


SAO 60650 by Hispania 1986 Feb 15


SAO 97838 by Doris 1986 Feb 21


SAO 97085 by Polyxo 1986 Feb 2


SAO 137517 by Gyptis 1986 Feb 8


SAO 80082 by Antiope 1986 Feb 8


LS 1110 by Devosa 1986 Feb 22








SAO 100323 by Faîna 1986 Mar 5


SAO 210769 by P/Halley 1986 Mar 28


SAO 186404 by Mars 1986 Apr 1


SAO 226884 by P/Halley 1986 Apr 7


SAO 132993 by Pallas 1986 Mar 21

$+06^{\circ} 2544$ by Laurentia 1986 Mar 29


SAO 228046 by P/Halley 1986 Apr 4


SAO 76447 by Eunomia 1986 Apr 7


SAO 159625 by Siwa 1986 Mar 25


SAO 210652 by P/Halley 1986 Mar 29


SAO 227471 by P/Halley 1986 Apr 6


LS 211 by Melete 1986 Apr 11



SAO 224955 by P/Halley 1986 Apr 12


SAO 203912 by P/Halley 1986 Apr 16

SAO 224622 by P/Halley 1986 Apr 13 SAO 224173 by P/Halley 1986 Apr 14


SAO 203904 by P/Halley 1986 Apr 16


SAO 203882 by P/Halley 1986 Apr 16

