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## IOTA NEWS

## David W. Dunham

The second annual meeting of IOTA was held in Houston on October 20th. Chuck Harold's minutes of the meeting are on p. 203.

During a recent visit, Wolfgang Beisker described the IOTA/ES occultation photonicier system for which he designed most of the components. I understand that seven of the systems are operational, and construction is proceding in Hannover on 13 more cop-
ies. One of them was used in Lübeck to record the occultation by (9) Metis last February. The system uses a TRS-80 computer, is easily transported, and seems to be fairly easy to set up and use. Wolfgana is spending a year working at Livermore Laboratories in California, and has set up his equipment to record occultations with the University of California's 30 -inch telescope at Leuschner Observatory in Lafayette. The system can and has been run with much smaller telescopes. The photometer fits into a standard 14 -inch eyepiece holder and uses a dichroic beam splitter so that the star can be watched visually as the data are recorded. After recording a few occultations at Leuschner Observatory, Wolfgang plans to write an article about the system for o.n. and offer further details. In the future, it may compete with the Chen photometer for manufacture in the United States.

The delay in receipt of residuals for total lunar occultation timings reported to IL.OC has been noted by Dr. J. Dommanget; Observatoire Royal de Belgique; 3, Avenue Circulaire; 1180 Brussels, Belgium. He. as well as others, are concerned that observers have to wait two or more years to obtain the results of their observations, which can be especially discouraging for new observers. Dr. Dommanget hopes that the problem can be discussed at the next General Assembly of the International Astronomical Union, in New Delhi, India, next November. The I.A.U. commission which has handled the few questions about lunar occultations in the past is Commission 4 (Ephemerides), so a discussion of occultation residuals may be appropriate for one of their sessions. Others concerned about this might send their thoughts or suggestions to Dr. Dommanget. The Lunar Section of the British Astronomical Association and the Occultation Section of the Royal Astronomical Society of New Zealand have had some success in computing residuals for their own members with microcomputers. Unfortunately, with its many other activities, IOTA doesn't have the resources to mount its own effort to compute residuals.

The occultations of Astrographic Catalog stars by (51) Nemausa will be included in the local-circumstance appulse predictions for 1985 distributed by Joseph Carroll, contrary to the statement on p. 209.

Lick Observatory astrometry for several possible occultations by (747) Winchester showed that the stars to be occulted on December 9, 11, and 12 were very close to their Astrographic Catalog positions. This disproved my assumption stated in O.N. 3 (8), 161, that all data for the Algiers zone of Fresneau's
version of the A.C. are incorrect. Hence, many of the events which I said should be deleted did, in fact, occur. However, there are errors with some of the Algiers-zone (south of declination $3: 8$ ) data, including the field traversed by Winchester in early November. I have found some other sections of the Algiers zones to be inexplicably devoid of stars.

On p. 182 of the 'last issue, I incorrectly stated that Bob Millis and Ted Bowell had predicted the improved path for the occultation by (47) Aglaja last September. Art Hoag exposed the plates while Larry Wasserman measured them and computed the improved occultation path from the measurements.

Berton Stevens recommends that all of the information about a predicted asteroidal occultation be published together on one page, so that it is ready to duplicate for local distribution. He suggests that this be done even if it means raising the price of o.N., and noted that the costs could be held to a reasonable level by regional distribution. Others also have complained about the need to consult successive issues to obtain all the information about particular events. I agree that we should aim in this direction, and I have made related proposals in O.N. 3 (6), 131 and page 182 of the last issue. The current situation has resulted from the relative speed of producing the lists of events (we want to give warning of events for the whole year as soon as possible) compared with the slower process of preparing the maps and finder charts, which consume much of my time. The addition of new events from various sources during the year, after the main list is published, complicates the process. After I complete the star catalog work described in the last issue, I hope to improve the efficiency of the generation of my material for o.N., so that something like Berton's recommendation can be implemented. I will try to do it for the predictions of 1986 events.

## SOLAR RADIUS INCREASING; OTHER ECLIPSE NEWS

## David W. Dunham

1984 May 30: My analysis of 51 timings of Baily's beads obtained from my videotape of the May 30th broken annular eclipse yields a correction to the solar radius of $+0!23 \pm 0!04$. This seems to give a strong confirmation of the increase of the solar radius during eclipses since 1979, when the correction was -0.11 , noted in o.n. 3(8), 164. The +0."09 correction obtained from analysis of data from the 1983 June llth total eclipse first attracted me to the idea that the solar radius might be increasing during recent years. The analysis was done only to provide a preliminary result for updating the prediction for the November 22-23rd eclipse; some more data from other observers should be reduced to check consistency. Another possible problem is that virtually all of the observations of this eclipse were photographic or were obtained with video cameras, while the results for most of the other recent eclipses were derived from visual timings of the bead phenomena. Few useful visual timings could be made during this eclipse due to the similarity in angular sizes of the sun and moon. The bead phenomena generally were too complex for visual observers to time uniquely (that is, time and identify by position angle). During the total solar eclipse in Java in 1983 June, a few particular bead events were timed visually by observers near the southern limit,
and also were timed from Alan Fiala's video record at the southern limit. The residuals (observed minus predicted location of solar limb relative to lunar valley bottom at reported time of bead event) for these different timings of the same feature nearly always agreed to better than 0.2 , and usually better than 0.10. More statistics like these, especially by video and visual observers at the same limit (so that geometry and background light for the individual bead events will be similar), are needed before we can be completely satisfied with comparing visual and video results.

My data also gave substantial corrections to the predicted positions of the moon relative to the sun, amounting to $+1!01 \pm 0.05$ in ecliptic longitude and $-0.47 \pm 0.06$ in ecliptic latitude, respectively. The bead events were distributed rather uniformly around the limb of the moon. These corrections are similar to, but larger than, the positional corrections for the 1983 eclipse, apparently indicating a trend which, in longitude, goes back to 1976 October, the first relatively well-observed eclipse in the recent series. My eclipse predictions and analyses are done through the 80G version of USNO's OCC program. This version also has been found to be less accurate for graze predictions than the older 78 A version, which, unfortunately, can not be used for eclipse calculations.

Unfortunately, Alan Fiala and I have not been able to complete the videotape of observations of the May 30 th eclipse. We have added time display (with manual start) information to the central two or three minutes of all $\frac{1}{2}$-inch VHS-format tapes which we have received. We also have eclipse coverage from the CNN and CBS networks. We still need to add to our master tape a few observations which we have only on Betamax or $3 / 4$-inch VHS tapes. After this is done, we will send the tape to Peter Manly, who will make a copy of it using his device which generates a moving bar whose length is proportional to the audio signal. It will jump at the beginning of WWV seconds beats, allowing calibration of the manually set time display to the video frame accuracy of 0.03 second. I also hope to make a copy of my record including a cursor to point out and identify the beads which I have timed. This should help others to obtain times of identified beads on their records, which are needed for analysis. Unfortunately, all this will take more time; we may not be ready to distribute copies of the master tape until early February. If you need a copy sooner for a presentation, we can send you a copy of what we have sooner.

1984 November 22-23: Paul Maley led an expedition to sites just inside the predicted northern limit of totality southeast of Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea. Timed video records showing 2nd contact and some Baily's bead events were obtained at four sites, but clouds prevented 3rd-contact observations. A few other observers led by John Parkinson, from England, were at sites east of Port Moresby stretching from the central line to a couple of miles south of the southernmost observer in Maley's chain. They simply timed the duration of totality visually. Parkinson noted that their timed durations were shorter than predicted, tending to support the increased solar radius derived from the May and 1983 June eclipses. David Herald and some other Australians were able to reach sites near the southern limit in Papua New Guinea, but they were com-

- pletely clouded out. Hans Bode and a few other Germans successfully observed the eclipse through hazy skies in West Irian. They were attempting to observe near the southern limit, but we do not know yet how close to $1 t$ they were; they did not have good maps of the jungle area on the southwest side of New Guinea island. Presumably, they obtained timed photographs with $35-\mathrm{mm}$ cameras with motorized backs, similar to successful observations which Bode obtained of the May 30th event. We hope that with this variety of data, it will be possible to derive a solar radius correction which can be compared with the other recent eclipses. The observers are to be congratulated for their overall apparently successful observational effort in a difficult and distant part of the world with uncertain weather prospects.

1985 May 4: Obtaining Astrographic Catalog data for the star fields to be traversed by the moon during the 1985 total lunar eclipses was discussed on $p$. 184 of the last issue. The data are needed for calculating extended-coverage total occultation predic. cions during the eclipses. David Herald already has reduced the appropriate A.C. data and calculated 1950 R.A.'s and Dec.'s for the stars in the field. He is sending me the data on floppy disk, in a form which can be read with a Commodore microcomputer and uplinked to the U. S. Naval Observatory's computer.

There is little to add to the discussion on expedition plans for the May 4th eclipse on pages 184 and 185 of the last issue; the November eclipse and various year-end commitments have detracted from these efforts. John Parkinson talked with Paul Maley about satellite receivers for determining geographic positions, noting that the receiver he uses records data from the Transit satellites, gives positions accurate to about 100 meters, costs about $\$ 1200$, and is about the size of a large short-wave radio. Although the accuracy is marginal for occultation analysis, the system might be quite valuable for positioning observers in poorly mapped areas like the Sudan.

## IOTA MEMBERS WELCOMED TO IAU SYMPOSIUM

## Russell M. Genet

IOTA members have received a special welcome to attend International Astronomical Union (IAU) Symposium No. 118, "Instrumentation and Research Programmes for Small Telescopes." Top research astronomers from around the world will speak on topics of interest to small-telescope researchers, including occultation programs and high-speed photometry instrumentation. For occultation observations, where telescopes are located (or can be transported to) is of special importance, of course, and the special contributions of amateurs and their small telescopes is recognized.

The symposium will be held at the University of Canterbury, in Christchurch, New Zealand, on December $2-6,1985$. New Zealand has, of course, one of the most active occultation observation programs, as well as many other very active small-telescope research programs, and thus is a particularly appropriate location for this symposium. Early December is, down under, lata spring - a time when New Zealand is at its most beautiful. Symposium attendees will be invited to visit Mt. John University Observatory at Lake Tekapo, and the official opening of
their new l-meter telescope. It is not true that participants will be asked to drive about the South Island at great speed on a last-minute grazing occultation expedition led by David Dunham.

Plan now to attend this special IAU symposium. For more information, write to: IAU Symposium No. 118, Secretariat, c/o Mount Cook Line, Private Bag, Christchurch, New Zealand. Go to New Zealand and discover for yourself why it is a hotbed of occultation activity!

## MINUTES OF IOTA MEETING, 1984 OCTOBER 20

The annual meeting of IOTA was held once again at the Lunar and Planetary Institute on NASA Rd. 1 in Houston, Texas. It started at 10:20 AM CDT with 13 people present. Officers of IOTA present were: Dr. David Dunham, President: Paul Maley, Vice President: and Charles Herold, Executive Secretary.

Paul Maley introduced everyone present and then introduced Dr. David Dunham. Dr. Dunham briefly discussed the agenda for this annual meeting before starting. The meeting was conducted in two sections: (1) scientific meeting considering past effort and future efforts; (2) business meetings (old and then new business).

First on the agenda was the results of the May 30, 1984 eclipse. All of the video tapes and movie film and still pictures have been reduced. A 50 -minute summary of many of the video tapes of the eclipse was played. Dr. Dunham's prediction that the eclipse would occur 2.5 to 3.0 seconds earlier than predicted was confirmed by the eclipse. See p. 202.

The occultation path involving the asteroid Aglaja on 16 Sept. 1984 shifted south. Numerous events were recorded in the southern U.S.A. Paul Maley and Chuck Herold had a miss located about 5 miles north of Mt. Enterprise, Texas. However, Chuck Herold had a secondary event that occurred about 20 seconds after a possible main event should have occurred. Chuck described the event and then played a tape of the event. See p. 182 of the last issue.

Further occultations of stars by asteroids and comets were discussed.

Dr. Dunham presented a list of occultations for next year, noting that a similar list would be printed in the January, 1985, issue of Sky and relescope.

The eclipse of the sun by the moon on Nov. 23, 1984, was next discussed. Numerous methods of recording the event were presented. Dr. Dunham expressed a caution that in order to get good data that can be used in scientific measurements, the times when Baily's beads are just disappearing or just reappearing are most important.

Another attempt to measure the polar diameter of the moon will be made next year; see p. 202. The southern 1 imb will be recorded by some IOTA members in South Africa and the northern limb will be attempted somewhere between Libya, Ethiopia, or Sudan. As can be reasoned from the locations, it is not possible to identify the sites yet; these will be determined at a future date.

[^0]carried. The meeting reconvened at 13:15 local time.
In the afternoon, the procedures for calculating graze predictions at the U. S. Naval Observatory were discussed. Things are slower because of U.S.N.O. procedures requiring two people to be present when the computer is being used. Asteroid predictions are being done primarily on another computer.

Dr. Dunham read a letter from H. F. DaBoll concerning charging various IOTA fees on MasterCard or VISA. This would ease making payments, particularly by foreign members; see pages 180 and 190 of the last issue.

Next, a form was presented by Dave Dunham to record member expense while performing IOTA experiments. Copies of this are being distributed with this issue to members residing in the U.S.A.

Next, IOTA letterhead stationery and a membership card were discussed. Joan Dunham volunteered to pursue this, since she is Secretary of the $D C$-area National Capital Astronomers, which also needs stationery and membership cards.

Next year's meeting probably will be held in conjunction with the Texas Star Party, which will run from May 13-19. We expect to hold the IOTA meeting on Saturday, May 18th. Work on the proposal will be coordinated with George Ellis of Ft. Worth.

Grants for conducting scientific research by IOTA were briefly discussed. Now that IOTA is incorporated, it may be possible to obtain some grant support, but preparation of good proposals will involve much work.

Joan Dunham motioned that the meeting be adjourned at 17:40 CDT. This was seconded by Don Stockbauer. The motion carried.

Respectfully, Charles H. Herold Executive Secretary, IOTA

[Ed: The form for recording member expense, mentioned above, may or may not be distributed with this issue. As of the morning of December 24th, we still have not received the original.]

## GRAZING OCCULTATIONS

David W. Dunham
Predictions. The extra correction for northern-limit waning-phase positive latitude-libration grazes mentioned in o.s. 3 (8), 157 does not need to be applied to predictions for 1985 grazing occultations. A correction of 0.08 ( 0.08 arc seconds) times the latitude libration expressed in degrees was previously applied to the predicted profiles by the ACLPPP, only if the latitude libration was negative. Our observations have shown that the correction is also valid for positive latitude librations (this has been confirmed by a more extensive analysis of graze data by Appleby and Morrison published in Mon. Not. Royal Astron. Soc. 205, p. 57), and all of the IOTA graze computors were asked to change their versions of the ACLPPP to apply this correction for all latitude librations. The only correction which
should concern observers now is a 0.5 arc second southward correction recommended for waning-phase southern-1 imit Cassini-region grazes (that is, for all profile data between Watts angles $180^{\circ}$ and $188^{\circ}$, and with latitude 1 ibration less than $1^{\circ}$ ). We need some observations for further confirmation and to give more detail, before we will change the profile computer program.

Observers and the graze computors should be careful with predictions for the double star 36 Ophiuchi, whose components are given separately in the Zodiacal Catalog. The northern component is Z.C. $2479=$ SAO 185198 and the southern star is Z.C. $2480=$ SAO 185199. Orbital elements are available for this pair, so both components have been given double star codes of "0." If this is not changed and double star data are specified, ACLPPP will assume that a mean position should be used, and will incorrectly shift both stars. The double star codes (in column 26 of the 5th card, for graze computors) should be changed to "N" for Z.C. 2479 and to "S" for Z.C. 2480; then, ACLPPP will correctly assume that the prediction is for the component specified. The magnitudes of both components are 5.3. For Z.C. 2479 during 1985, the separation (of the other star, Z.C. 2480) is 4.69 in position angle $153^{\circ}$; for Z.C. 2480, give the same separation, but $333^{\circ}$ for the p.a. The component magnitudes, separations, and p.a.'s of some other bright stars occulted during 1985 are given in my article on lunar occultation highlights in the 1985 January issue of Sky and relescope.

If you can get commitments from five or more observers for a particular graze of a star whose declination is less than $-4^{\circ}$ (that is, any C.D. star or B.D. star whose zone number (which follows "B.D." in the limit prediction heading) is less than -3, I will send you a predicted shift for the graze as computed from the Perth 70 catalog (the most accurate for the southern stars, except for rare FK4 stars) or from the Yale catalog (if the star is not in Perth 70 and the SAO used poor G.C. data for the star; these cases usually have probable errors of declination greater than 0"6). A list of most of the Z.C. and SAO numbers of Perth 70 stars in the southern part of the Zodiac appears in O.N. 2 (1), 10; the list was not complete due to errors in the version of the catalog tape that was used. You need to supply me with the star's SAO number and either its Z.C. or $X$ number, and the date and position angle of graze. Either send them to me at P.O. Box 7488, Silver Spring, Maryland 20907, U.S.A., or telephone me at 301,585-0989. Try to allow at least a week, plus a reasonable estimate for mail transit; Yale shifts for G.C. stars need two weeks. Version 80F (see "Future Concern" below) corrections for many individual stars also can be computed from previously observed occultation data, but experience has shown these to be of not much use, especially for northern stars, and I am willing to check only if at least seven are committed to try the graze.

In addition to the star position error, note that there are uncertainties in such parameters as the limb profile data and the ephemeris. Consequently, even for bright stars, you should plan for a possible shift of at least 0.3 ( 0.15 is recommended) either north or south, by extending the range of observers. You should try to allow for obtaining some data (that is, place one observer quite low on the profile) in case the path shifts as much as 1.0

## towards the center of the moon.

Reporting observations. Observers should use the IOTA/ILOC graze report forms, but the equivalent International Lunar Occultation Centre (ILOC) forms for total occultations also can be used. If the ILOC forms are used, observers should write in the information requested at the bottom of the back of the IOTA/ILOC form, which is not explicitly requested in ILOC's form. Not reporting these data makes it necessary for us to calculate the lunar \% sunlit and look up the star's magnitude; other columns in our summary reports must be left blank because we do not have time to calculate other details (such as the cusp angle) of the graze. Also, observers preferentially should report the Z.C. number first (catalog code "R" for Robertson, the author of the Z.C.), the SAO number if the star is not in the Z.C., the DM number if it is in neither the Z.C. nor SAO, and USNO reference number if it is in none of the other catalogs; see the discussion about star number near the beginning of the Observations section below.

Both addresses for returning the IOTA/ILOC forms given on the back are now wrong. You should no longer send the reports to me, but instead should send the forms to Don Stockbauer; 2846 Mayflower Landing; Webster, TX 77598; U.S.A., and preferably a copy also should be sent to ILOC. Don henceforth will be IOTA's primary recipient of grazing occultation observation reports. He will check to be sure that the reports have been completed correctly, send blank forms when requested (enclosing a self-addressed envelope will expedite this), ensure that ILOC gets a copy, send me reports requiring immediate analysis or reduction profiles to be drawn by Robert Sandy for O.N., and (most important) write future summary articles on grazes for O.N. I am confident that Don will be able to respond to received reports more quickly and in more detail than I have been able. He also will be able to provide more frequent documentation of your observational efforts in O.N., which is important for warning others of stars with bad positions as well as giving recognition. The full address for ILOC is: International Lunar Occultation Centre; Geodesy and Geophysics Division; Hydrographic Department; Tsu-kiji-5, Chuo-ku; Tokyo, 104 Japan. This is the same as on the form except for the division. I understand that an observer in the U.K. using the old division had his total occultation report returned. Be sure to indicate to whom copies of your report have been sent. If this is not indicated on forms sent to IOTA, it will be assumed that we are the only recipient and we will copy the report and send it to ILOC.

Unfortunately, many observers do not report the "Shift" at the bottom of the back of the IOTA/ILOC form. This is the expedition leader's estimate of the shift of the graze shadow from its predicted position as defined by the ACLPPP profile. It is important for giving observers of future grazes of the star some idea of where to set up relative to the nominal prediction. It can be very approximate, perhaps determined by knowing the location on the profile (distance from predicted 1 imit) of the southernmost or northernmost observer who had a miss (no occultation), or by comparing the observer's predicted distance from the limit with the distance from the limit on the profile where the observed
length of occultation (in case there is only one disappearance and one reappearance seen) matches the predicted duration. This difference in miles or kilometers should be converted to arc seconds either by multiplying it times the VPS (vertical profile scale) given at the bottom of the profile, or by noting the distance in arc seconds with the arc-seconds scale on the left side of the profile. This is sufficient, but a better estimate of the shift can be made by plotting the observations on the profile. This can be done by drawing horizontal lines at the elevation-corrected distances of each observer from the limit line, and converting the horizontal scale into U.T. by adding the "minutes from central graze" at the top of the profile to the U.T. of central graze given in the limit predictions for the longitude of the chain of observers (don't worry about the difference of a mile or two in the east-west placement of observers). An example of this is Graham Blow's profile of a southern-1imit graze of Z.C. 3106 at the bottom of p. 190 of the last issue. The average value of the distance difference of the observed contacts from the predicted profile is the shift; this can be estimated rather than calculated. In the example on p. 190, the observations indicate an average small north shift of the shadow, but it can not be quantified since the vertical scale is not given. Also, the Watts angle ("W.A.") requested after "Shift" should be the approximate average of the Watts angles of all observed contacts, which may differ from the W.A. of central graze. Differences can occur if one side of the profile is sunlit or if most of the timings are made on a high mountain a degree or more from cental graze. If you don't estimate a shift value, we will do it for you if you send with your report a copy of the predicted profile with your observations plotted on it, as described above.
occultation Manual. I plan to distribute a preliminary version of the long-delayed IOTA occultation manual, including mostly information for using graze predictions to set up expeditions. This will replace the current set of papers used for this purpose, some written nearly a saros cycle ago, which have much out-of-date information. I tried to get the new version ready by last October, in time to distribute to new observers responding to the Astronomy article discussed below, but other pressing matters prevented it. I hope that the distribution to IOTA members will be made in late January or February; others will be able to purchase it from IOTA for a price to be determined later.

Astronomy Article and Graze Videos. Early last year, I proposed to write an article on lunar occultations, with emphasis on grazes, for Astronomy magazine. The article, "Chasing Moon Shadows" by Don Stockbauer and me, was published in Astronomy 12 (10), 50 (1984 October issue). Stockbauer's first name is given incorrectly there as "Donald"; "Don" is not an abbreviation. The article includes a map showing favorable paths across the U.S.A. during the last quarter of 1984; it was the first IOTA graze map to be produced with the Meeusmap and Grazemap programs, and published (see p. 188 of the last issue). During that quarter, an unusually large number of favorable graze paths crossed, or passed very close to, major American metropolitan areas. The article included my discussion on the value of lunar occultation observations, a rather extensive section on visual timing methods, information about IOTA,
and the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of expedition organizers planning efforts for the plotted grazes near large cities. Unfortunately, they were not able to include my discussion on recording occultations with video equipment [this was only partially addressed on p. 37, without pictures, in Peter Manly's article in the December issue of Astronomy 12 (12)]. Also not included was a mention of Solar System Photometry Handbook, edited by Russ Genet and described in O.N. 3 (7), 148. Instead of using any of the $1: 250,000-$ scale maps showing the graze paths across six cities, the sequence of photoes I made from the Delta Cancri graze videotape [see O.N. 3 (4), 84], or the Delta Cancri graze reduction profile which we provided, Astronomy instead used some photoes of occultations of planets by the moon to illustrate the article. I was rather disappointed in this choice, especially since observations of lunar occultations of planets are now of virtually no scientific value. The Delta Cancri graze videotape has generated much interest when it has been shown at various meetings, proving it to be a valuable tool for educating many astronomers, as well as the general public, about the dynamism of graze phenomena. I think that interest in grazes could be increased if a sequence of photoes from the videotape could be widely disseminated, but neither Sky and relescope nor astronomy has been willing to publish them. I am open to suggestions about another magazine where these photoes might be published and fairly widely distributed in the astronomical community.

Cassini Grazes and Luna Incognita. An article about "Luna Incognita," the last unmapped section of the moon, appeared in Sky and Telescope 67 (3), 284 (1984 March). This region, at and just beyond the lunar south pole, is known to be lower than the average lunar radius and might contain ice in valleys which remain in perpetual darkness. Such a source of water could be important for possible future efforts to colonize the moon. Grazes also occur in this region, which includes much of the southern "Cassini" area. The "Cassini" area, named after Cassini's third law of lunar rotation because it results in this area never being adequately illuminated as seen from the earth, could not for this reason be included in Watts' charts of the marginal zone of the moon used for most of our predicted graze profiles. Hence, grazes are especially useful for mapping this region, which has been done crudely from previous grazes. Large expeditions giving more detail of the observed profile are of the most value for this effort. Most grazes in Luna Incognita are southern-1imit events on the dark limb during the moon's waning phases. It is presented in profile from Watts angle (W.A.) $178^{\circ}$ to $188^{\circ}$ at all librations, and from W.A. $188^{\circ}$ to $205^{\circ}$ for latitude librations less than $-3^{\circ}$; check your predicted profiles for grazes in these areas. Remember that observations of all grazes with latitude librations between $-1: 0$ and +190 also have special value for improving profile data for solar eclipse analysis.

Future Concern. The limit predictions are computed with the U. S. Naval Observatory's version 80G OCC (occultation calculation) program. This version includes corrections derived from a fit of an improved model of the various lunar, geodetic, and stellar parameters to occultation observations through 1977. But graze observations during recent years have agreed better with the previous version 78A of OCC,
which we consequently are using for calculating the ACLPPP profiles which form the ultimate base for IOTA's graze predictions. For version 80 G , the positions of all zodiacal stars were updated with data from the Perth 70 catalog, winen available. Although the Perth 70 data usually are the best for Southern Hemisphere stars, that catalog also contains data for most Northern Hemisphere zodiacal stars brighter than about 5th magnitude. Since these northern stars culminate at relatively low altitude at Perth, the Perth 70 data for them are not as accurate (with probable systematic errors) as the original XZ-catalog data used with version 78A. This is probably why version 78A agrees better with observations, especially of Northern Hemisphere stars, than 80G, in spite of the better modelling for the latter.

Version 78A of OCC exists only in load-module form and can be run only with the old MVT operating system on the IBM 4341 computer at USNO. The 80G version, for which largely undocumented FORTRAN source code is available, runs with the new CMS operating system; there is an equivalent 80F version for MVT. Unfortunately, starting 1985 October 1, the MVT system will be discontinued at USNO, and then it will not be possible to run 78A in its current form. Marie Lukac and I will try to solve this problem during the next several months, but we are not sure how or if it can be done. Perhaps the 78A load modules can be configured to run under CMS, or the 78A corrections can be incorporated into the 80G OCC source code to create a special version which can be used with the old XZ stellar data to create a separate version which mimics 78A closely. But either approach will need some help from Tom Van Flandern, who created all versions of OCC but who can devote very little time for this work these days. It would be best to redo the 80G solutions using stellar data uncorrupted with Perth 70 data in the Northern Hemisphere, and ideally including as many observations made since 1977 as possible, but this can not be done with Van Flandern away from USNO.
observations. The table lists successful, or partly successful, expeditions for lunar grazing occultations which have been received since the list in O.N. 2 (16), 220 (1982 April).

The first 2 columns of the table give the Universal Time month and day numbers. A "V" is given between the date and star number if a video record of some graze contacts was obtained from at least one of the stations, and a "P" is similarly given if a photoelectric record was obtained. Star numbers are usually Z.C. ( 4 digits) or SAO numbers ( 6 digits, if the star is not in the Z.C.). The B.D. or C.D. number is given for non-SAO stars. These DM numbers consist of a declination zone (in degrees) followed by a sequential number in the zone. The sequential number for C.D. stars is always greater than 10000, while it is always less than 9999 for B.D. stars, A number prefixed by a letter is a USNO reference number, used only if the star is not in one of the other catalogs mentioned above. Observations made near the limits of annularity or totality of solar eclipses (grazes of the sun) no longer will be included in these tables. In case of close double stars, the combined magnitude is given. Under the next column, the percent of the moon's apparent disk sunlit, + signifies waxing phases, - waning phases, and $E$ that a lunar eclipse is in progress (the percent sunlit is then the percent of the moon's diame-
ter which is not in the umbral shadow). Next is the cusp angle in degrees from the north or south cusp. During a lunar eclipse, there is no cusp, and the umbral distance [percentage distance of star from center of umbra ( 0 ) to its edge (100) is given, followed by a "U." Only the number of stations reporting useful data (including possibly one station reporting no occultation) is given under \# Sta. Next is the number of timings, which count $\frac{1}{2}$ for "possibly spurious" events and nothing for "most likely spurious" ones. Only contact timings are counted, and only if they are timed to, at worst, 2 seconds accuracy. Totals involving halves are rounded up. SS is the best (lowest) sky steadiness code (col. 49 of the form) reported by any observer in the expedition. Ap cm gives the aperture, in centimeters, of the smallest telescope in the expedition which achieved the sky steadiness listed under SS (in case more than one observer achieved it). St gives the estimated shift from the USNO prediction, in tenths of a second of arc on the predicted profile (see the last paragraph of "Reporting Observations" above). $N$ and $S$ indicate whether the observed shadow passed north or south of the predicted one. C indicates the Cassini region, where Watts' 1 imb data are not available (for Watts angles within $7^{\circ}$ of $0^{\circ}$ or $180^{\circ}$, and with latitude libration, $b$, less than $-1^{\circ}$ for southern-1imit grazes and greater than $+1^{\circ}$ for northern-1imit grazes). WA is the Watts angle of the center of observed data (usually central graze), and $b$ is the latitude 1ibration, in tenths of a degree (e.g., " -50 " signifies $-5^{\circ}$ ). For comparison purposes, remember that SS depends on twilight, clouds, and other factors not indicated in the table.

Observations of grazes of the same star during two or more months can be especially helpful in studies of the moon's shape and motion, since the uncertainty in the star's position can be largely removed. So if you see a graze of a star listed in the table in your upcoming predictions, try to assign the event special priority. There may be opportunities to observe occultations of a particular star for only a few more months, and then not again for many years, so prompt reporting of observations is encouraged.
Unfortunately, most of the favorable grazes near large American cities noted in the Astronomy article above were clouded out. Especially disappointing was the spectacular "Luna Incognita" graze of the

close 3rd-mag. double star Eta Leonis early the morning of November 16; the path crossed over Griffith Observatory and central Los Angeles, CA, as well as major suburban areas. During the evening of the 15 th, it rained throughout the area as a major Pacific storm moved in. However, after midnight, by which time most observers had become discouraged and had gone to bed, the rain became intermittent and holes appeared in the cloud cover. Satellite photoes showed solid cloud cover over southern Arizona (where 5 video cameras and 11 visual observers were ready to record the event) and from Los Angeles westward. However, there were large breaks in areas just east of Los Angeles, and a few persistent observers timed the graze. The path was south of the prediction and the profile was not as rugged as predicted, resulting in only one occultation of the star for most observers. Also effects of the star's duplicity were not evident.

On 1984 October 1, Don Stockbauer led a 4-station expedition for a southern-1 imit graze of 8.2-mag. C.D. $-26^{\circ} 12457$ (USNO K07211) near Chocolate Bayou, TX. One of the observers, Carl Sexton, timed 31 events during the graze, a new record, as far as I know, surpassing the previous record of 28 events. Although Carl is an experienced observer, there were unfortunately no observers closely bracketing his position to give good confirmation. in will let Don give a fuller account of this event in his first grazing occultation summary article in the next issue.

Unfortunately, my year-end schedule prevents preparation of a list of all the graze reports received
since the last summary list was published. Only the most recent events are listed here. I have assumed that these are the most important, since grazes of the same stars might occur in the near future. A continuation of this list, including all of the rest of the reports which I have received since O.N. 2 (16) was published in 1982 April, will appear in the next issue. I have misplaced reports of grazes organized by $H$. Povenmire in July-August and by J Fox (Z.C. 709) in September, but I expect to find them as I clean up during the next few weeks and will add them to the continuation list.

## PLANETARY OCCULTATIONS DURING 1985

## David W. Dunham

Predictions of occultations of stars by major and minor planets during 1985 are given in two tables below, which are presented in the same format as those for last year's events. The tables are given on alternating pages, so that all data for a given event are available on facing pages. Explanations of the data given in the tables, and of the finder charts, and regional and world maps appearing in o.N., as well as information about local circumstances (appulse predictions) sent to IOTA members, were given in the article about 1983 events in O.N. 3 (1), 9. Joseph E. Carroll, 4261 Queen's Way, Minnetonka, MN 55345, computes the appulse predictions. Special requests for the appulse predictions should be sent to his address, which was given incorrectly in the o.N. article about 1983 events. Specific information about some of the events is given at the end of this article. Preprints of the tables for the first half of 1985, and finder charts for the occultations on January 1 and 3 , were distributed to IOTA members with the 1985 appulse predictions by Joseph Carroll, since his mailing for these urgent events will occur before distribution of this issue of O.N. For North Americans, information about them may also be available sooner in my article on planetary occultations in the 1985 January issue of Sky and relescope. In general, you should watch the Celestial Calendar section of Sky and Telescope, since their more frequent publication schedule often allows information about important new events or astrometric updates to be published there more quickly than in O.N.

The proposed changes for asteroidal occultation predictions discussed on pages 181-2 of the last issue have not been incorporated; currently known photoe-lectric-only events are included in the list. This is because virtually all of the predictions are derived from computer comparisons of ephemerides with the AGK3 and SAO catalogs, which include only stars which are usually much brighter than the occulting objects. Hence, there are very few events in the list which can only be detected photoelectrically, so the work of separating them out is not justified. Also, since the stars are relatively bright, the positions do not need to be given to more precision than in previous lists. The changes which were proposed in the last issue will be made after predictions of fainter stars for 1985 are computed. Only recently, I have begun the star catalog work mentioned in the last issue, and it will be at least a month before it is completed. Since there are some good occultations of SAO stars in early January, I decided that it was more important to get these predictions published and distributed, and wait for the
next issue, probably to appear in March, for the fainter stars. This will permit time to complete the star catalog work, my computer comparisons with ephemerides, and selection for publication. This work will include Halley's Comet and Comet Giacobi-ni-Zinner. General information about cometary occultations, and predictions for some probable events in 1985, will be published by Bowell, Wasserman, Baum, and Millis in the Proceedings of the International Halley Watch Astrometry Network Workshop.

Reporting observations. Observations of appulses and occultations of stars by asteroids should be sent to Jim Stamm; Route 13, Box 109; London, KY 40741, U.S.A., telephone 606,846-7763. Jim writes the summary accounts of these observations for O.N.; clouded-out attempts usually are not mentioned and need not be reported. If a definite occultation is seen which could use some analysis for comparison with others, send copies of the report to me at P.O. Box 7488, Silver Spring, MD 20907, U.S.A., and to the chairman of the International Astronomical Union's (I.A.U.) Commission 20 Working Group on Predictions of Occultations by Satellites and Minor Planets, who is Gordon Taylor; c/o H. M. Nautical Almanac Office; Royal Greenwich Observatory; Herstmonceux Castle; Hailsham, Sussex BN27 1RP; England. Taylor will remain chairman of the Working Group until 1985 November; see O.N. 3 (8), 161. Alternatively, you can send your report to a local or regional coordinator who can then send the results to Stamm, Taylor, and me. Europeans can send their reports to R. Boninsegna; Rue de Mariembourg, 33; 6381 Dourbes, Belgium; he has been sending good summary reports to us. Preferably, the report forms of the International Lunar Occultation Centre (ILOC), or the equivalent IOTA/ILOC graze report forms, should be used for reporting timed occultations. The only difference from reporting lunar events is that the name of the occulting body should be written prominently at the top of the form, and the report should not be sent to the ILOC. For appulse (no occultation) observations, you can either use the forms, or just state the start and end Universal Times of observation and your geographical coordinates; it is also helpful to give the predicted time and distance of closest approach. Be sure to write on the report everyone to whom copies are being sent. Copies of the report forms can be obtained either from the ILOC, from IOTA (Columbus address given in the O.N. masthead), from Jim Stamm, or from me. Sending a self-addressed envelope will expedite your request.

Prediction Sources. The occultations by all the major planets were found by Gordon Taylor at the Royal Greenwich Observatory and published in his Bulletin 33 of the I.A.U. 's Working Group on Predictions of Occultations by Satellites and Minor Planets. Douglas Mink and Arnold Klemola have scanned Lick Observatory plates to find occultations by Uranus, Neptune, and Pluto from 1985 through 1990, and have included them in an article which will appear soon, probably in the Astronomical Journal. This work does not confirm the tentative Pluto events noted by Taylor in his Bulletin 32, and also indicates that even the rings of Uranus will not occult SAO 184819 on June 25. The brightest stars which Mink and Klemola find to be occulted during 1985 are 12.2 for Uranus (May 24, 8 h U.T., Americas), 11.9 for Neptune (June 7, $21^{\mathrm{h}}$, Africa and Asia), and 12.8 for Pluto (Aug 19, 18h, Europe?). The first two can be detected only photoelectrically with large observatory
telescopes. The Pluto event might be seen with a large telescope, and predictions will be published later if same-plate astrometry a few months beforehand confirms the event.

Most of the asteroidal occultations of SAO and AGK3 stars were found first by L. Wasserman, E. Bowell, and R. Millis, who published them in "Occultations of Stars by Solar System Objects. IV. Occultations of Catalog Stars by Asteroids in 1984 and 1985" in Astron. J. 88 (11), 1670 (1983 Nov.). The other events were found by G. Taylor and published by him in "Occultations of Stars by the Four Largest Minor Planets, 1981-1989" in Astron. J. 86 (6), 903 or in Bulletin 32 of the I.A.U. Working Group (1984 April). These events first found by Taylor include the ones on: Jan. 20 and 22 (B.D. $+10^{\circ}$ 1040); Feb. 22; March 28; April 19 and 23; May 16 (B.D. $+2^{\circ} 2711$ ) and 30 ; July 17, 21, and 28; Aug. 17; Sept. 12; and Nov. 16. The other occultation on May 16 involves a non-AGK3 star in the Lick Saturn-Voyager Reference Star Cata$10 g$ and was reported by Millis and Wasserman in Bull. Amer. Astron. Soc. 16 (3), 697-8. Ten occultations of Astrographic Catalog stars by (51) Nemausa during early 1985 are included in the lists. They were found by me during the work described in O.N. 3 (8), 159. Unfortunately, I did not add them to the 1985 dataset before I sent copies to J. Carroll for the appulse predictions and to M. Sôma to generate the world maps. Observations of occultations by Nemausa are valuable for dynamic studies to accurately locate the celestial equator. An occultation of a 12 th-mag. star by (65) Cybele on January 9 is not included in the lists with this article, but was published in the table in O.N: 3 (8), 159 and in Astron. J. 89 (5), 698. Information about several additional occultations of SAO stars by small asteroids predicted for North America is given in a supplement for North American observers, being mailed with this issue.

General guidelines for the ephemerides used for my predictions were given in my article about 1983 events referenced above. Table 3, listing ephemeris differences for several 1985 events, is in the same format as similar tables for 1983 and 1984 events.

Prediction Updates, Some general information was given in O.N. 3 (6), 131. My telephone, 301,5850989 in Silver Spring, MD, is now the main IOTA source for prediction updates from last-minute astrometry. A beeper allows me to retrieve received messages, and update the outgoing message, from remote telephones when I am out of town. Updates for European events might be obtained from Taylor at the Royal Greenwich Observatory, England, telephone 0323,833171, ext. 3252. Information for North American events also can be obtained from Astro-Alert in Chicago, IL at 312,259-2376; Paul Maley in Houston, TX at 713,488-6871; Lowell Observatory in Flagstaff, AZ at 602,774-3358; and the Astronomical Society of Harrisburg observatory at Lewisberry, PA at 717,9386041.

Notes about Individual Events. The visual double star data were supplied by Wayne Warren, Astronomical Data Center, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD. . .

Jan. 1: E. Goffin's prediction using an ephemeris computed from orbital elements published in the Leningrad Ephemerides of Minor Planets for 1975 (EMP
1975) and SAO stellar data shifts the path 0." 40 north (into the southwestern U.S.A.) and causes the event to occur 1.9 minutes earlier than the nominally predicted time. See the supplement for North American observers.

Jan 3: The small $\Delta m$ will make this event quite difficult for visual observers.

Jan 18: SAO 94422 is number 785 in the Zodiacal Catalog (Z.C.). It is possibly a close double, consisting of 9.2 and 10.1-magnitude components about 0.04 apart, according to a photoelectric record of a lunar occultation at McDonald Observatory, Texas, on 1977 Feb. 27. The projection position angle (P.A.) was $281^{\circ}$.

Feb. 16: The star is Z.C. 796.

March 4: (29)
Amphitrite is of special interest since the Galileo spacecraft may fly close to this asteroid late in 1986, obtaining the first closeup photoes of an asteroid.

Table 3.
Ephemeris Differences for 1985


March 17: The star is Z.C. 290. Visual observers will probably just see the star merge into Mars, since the 4.3 disk, being $96 \%$ sunlit, will have no significant defect of illumination. Since the star is of spectral type A6, photoelectric observers can decrease the light of Mars by monitoring only frequencies near the calcium $K$ absorption line. A central occultation will occur close to latitude $+45^{\circ}$; sensitive photoelectric equipment might detect a central flash, as was recorded during Mars' occultation of Epsilon Geminorum in 1976.

March 27: SAO 93440 is Aitken Double Star (ADS) 2542. The components are 1:0 apart in p.a. $168^{\circ}$.

April 11: Due to the unusually slow motion, the straight-line approximation used to generate the world maps is inaccurate due to the earth's rotation. The curved path shows the correct predicted path on the world map. Harold Povenmire reported a blink during an appulse of (129) Antigone to a 6th-
(Text continues on page 211)
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mag．star in 1973 October，the first report of a secondary occultation during an asteroidal appulse， as far as I know（astrometry the night of the event showed that the path crossed Colombia，over 3000 km south of Povenmire＇s location）．

June 3：The star，Z．C．258，is probably a spectro－ scopic binary．Venus＇ 26.9 disk will be $44 \%$ sunlit， so the star＇s reappearance on the dark side should be easy to see with moderate－sized telescopes．A central flash might be seen with large telescopes near latitude $-40^{\circ}$ ．The northern limit crosses Cos－ ta Rica．
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July 20：SAO $190822=$ Eta Piscis Austrini $=$ ADS
15536．If seeing is not good enough to resolve the
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SAfrica？Antar

|  | 3 SAfrica？Antarctic？s：sSAmerica？n |
| :---: | :---: |
| $21$ | southern Pacific Ocean |
| $37$ | northern Australia |
| 18 | Bolivia，Brazil，Senegal |
|  | U．K．，Iberia，Amazon，Peru |
| 22 | Bermuda（low） |
| 10 | Philippines；Japan？n |
|  | Hawaii？s；California（low）？n |
| 23 | northwestern South America |
| $13$ | Antarctica？n |
| 30 | Pakistan，Arabia，c．Africa，s．Brazil |
|  | southern Alaska，Japan，China |
|  | China，India，Kenya |
|  | China，Manchuria，Hokkaido |
|  | New Guinea，Hawaii |
|  | Ireland？s；e．Canada，w．USA，Hawaii |
| 18 | southwestern Australia |
| 14 | s．e．China，India，central Africa |
| 17 | Philipp＇s，NewGuinea，Fiji；Tahiti？n |
|  | N．Territory and W．Australia |
|  | Patagonia（dusk）；Falklands？s |
| 18 | Argentina（low）？with 2．3 n．shift |
|  | Argentina（low）？with l．＂4 n．shift |
|  | Calcutta，China，eastern Siberia |
| $21$ | N．Island（low）；Tahiti？s；BajaCalif． |
|  | n．w．Canada，Hawaii，Queensland |
|  | Ascens＇nIs，nwAfr；Iberia，Irel＇d？e | central Africa
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secondary star, the magnitude drop at occultation will be 1.0 . The 6.8 -magnitude companion is 2.0 away in position angle $131^{\circ}$. Its occultation might occur in Bermuda, but low altitude and the unocculted primary probably will preclude observation.

Aug. 9: The star is 38 Arietis = Z.C. $404=$ UV Arietis, a Delta Scuti variable of small amplitude.

Sept. 12: SAO 159188 might be a double star with 7.8 and 8.9 -mag. components, according to a photoelectric occultation recorded in P.A. $311^{\circ}$ at McDonald Observatory, TX, on 1978 June 18; the projected separation was 0"246.

Sept. 18: SAO $132709=$ ADS 4570, sep. 2.0 in p.a. $131^{\circ}$.


Nov. 16: The AGK3 lists 5.2 as the star's magnitude, but this is an error; Gordon Taylor's visual estimate is given in the table.

Dec. 28: Jupiter's 17" disk will have a nealigible defect of illumination of 0.15 . The star's spectral type is GO, similar to the sun's. Perhaps the only hope of meaningful observation is by photoelectric recording in one of Jupiter's methane absorption bands. I have not checked the geometry to see if an occultation by Jupiter's ring is possible.




SAU 130148 by Klotho 1985 Jan 11

:985 110 (97) KLOTHO SAO 130148 DINETER 109 KM - 0."11




SAO 94422 by Hersilia 1985 Jan 18


eftemeris source = branham




ERHEMERIS SOURCE = KRSTNNT7


SAO 60442 by Kreusa 1985 Jan 19


SAO139116 by Stereoskopia 85 Jan 21


SAO 95375 by Winchester ' 85 Jan 22


SAO 184542 by Fortuna 1985 Jan 30-

$\cdot 10^{\circ} 1040$ by Winchester 1985 Jan 22

$+08^{\circ} 355$ by Flora 1985 Jan 31


$+31^{\circ} 1655$ by Kreusa 1985 Feb 1


SAO 94467 by Iris 1985 Feb 16


ERHELERIS SOURCE = HERGET79


SAO 118291 by Palma 1985 Feb 18

$+10^{\circ} 2203$ by Kalypso 1985 Feb 27


SAO 183620 by Amphitrite ' 85 Mar 4


SAO 99489 by Mathes is 1985 Mar 12

$+01^{\circ} 2551$ by Galatea 1985 Feb 22


SAO 99560 by Mathesis 1985 Mar 3


SAO 157187 by Ursula 1985 Mar 5


SAO 92739 by Mars 1985 Mar 17


Sh0 183414 by Minerva 1985 Feb


SAC 96887 by Nemausa 1985 Mar 4



EPEIERIS SOURCE = KRSTNW77

;AO 93440 by Themis 1985 Mar 27

$+18^{\circ} 2490$ by Isis 1985 Mar 28


SAO 158545 by Io 1985 Apr 2

$+20^{\circ} 2390$ by Antigone 1985 Apr 11


EPHEMERIS SOURCE - HERGET77


ETEMERIS SOURCE = EMP 1980



SAO 139564 by Sapientia ' 85 Apr 15



[^0]:    A motion was made to break for lunch at noon. It

